

NEVADA STATE BOARD
of
DENTAL EXAMINERS

PUBLIC BOARD MEETING

JULY 31, 2015

9:00 A.M.

ADDITIONAL ITEMS

PUBLIC BOOK



NEVADA STATE BOARD OF DENTAL EXAMINERS
 6010 S Rainbow Boulevard, Suite A-1
 Las Vegas, Nevada 89118
 (702) 486-7044



Video Conferencing available for this meeting at the Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners located at 1105 Terminal Way, Suite 301, Reno, NV 89502

DRAFT Minutes

Friday, June 19, 2015
 9:04 a.m.

Board Meeting

Please Note: The Nevada State Board of Dental Examiners may 1) address agenda items out of sequence to accommodate persons appearing before the Board or to aid the efficiency or effectiveness of the meeting; 2) combine items for consideration by the public body; 3) pull or remove items from the agenda at any time. The Board may convene in closed session to consider the character, alleged misconduct, professional competence or physical or mental health of a person. See NRS 241.030. Prior to the commencement and conclusion of a contested case or a quasi judicial proceeding that may affect the due process rights of an individual the board may refuse to consider public comment. See NRS 233B.126.

At the discretion of the Chair, public comment is welcomed by the Board, but will be heard only when that item is reached and will be limited to five minutes per person. A public comment time will also be available as the last item on the agenda. The Chair may allow additional time to be given a speaker as time allows and in his/her sole discretion. Once all items on the agenda are completed the meeting will adjourn.

Asterisks () denote items on which the Board may take action.
 Action by the Board on an item may be to approve, deny, amend, or table.*

1. Call to Order, roll call, and establish quorum

Dr. Pinther called the meeting to order and Mrs. Shaffer-Kugel conducted the following roll call:

- Dr. J Gordon Kinard -----EXCUSED
- Dr. J Stephen Sill -----PRESENT
- Dr. Timothy Pinther -----PRESENT
- Dr. Jade Miller-----PRESENT (Excused for part of meeting)
- Dr. Gregory Pisani-----PRESENT
- Dr. Byron Blasco-----PRESENT
- Dr. Jason Champagne-----PRESENT
- Mrs. Leslea Villigan -----PRESENT
- Mrs. Theresa Guillen -----PRESENT
- Ms. Caryn Solie -----PRESENT
- Mrs. Lisa Wark -----PRESENT

Others Present: John Hunt, Board Legal Counsel; Debra Shaffer-Kugel, Executive Director.

Public Attendees: William Palmer, Esquire, Counsel for Christine T Navales, DDS; Christina Demopolous, UNLV SDM; Travis Sorensen; Nichole Sorensen; Nancy J. Stoker; Ross Stokes; Amanda Cragun; Boune Cragun, Counsel for Travis Sorensen; Vincent Colosimo; Chris Garvey, AC4OH; Syd McKenzie, Oral Health Nevada & CUSP.

Pledge of Allegiance

58 2. Public Comment: (Public Comment is limited to five (5) minutes for each individual)

59
60
61 Note: Prior to the commencement and conclusion of a contested case or a quasi-judicial
62 proceeding that may affect the due process rights of an individual the board may refuse to
63 consider public comment. See NRS 233B.126

64
65 * 3. Formal Hearing: Nevada State Board of Dental Examiners vs. James Brannan, DDS
66 (For Possible Action)

67
68 The purpose of this hearing is to consider the allegations regarding/related to the
69 the verified complaints/complaint by the Nevada State Board of Dental Examiners for the
70 violations of NRS 631 and NAC 631 and take such action the Board deems appropriate,
71 pursuant to NRS 631.350. (Pursuant to NRS 241.030(1)(a), the board may, by motion,
72 enter into closed session)

73
74 Mr. Hunt indicated marked a number of exhibits and offered them to be entered into the record. Board Counsel
75 noted further, that Dr. James Brannan did not appear to be present in the North (Reno) or South (Las Vegas).
76 Board Counsel went over the exhibits he was submitting. He further discussed the violations and allegations made
77 by complainants. The case was referred to Sophia Long, DAG and the Board for deliberation. The Board issued an
78 Order .

79
80 4. Public Comment: (Public Comment is limited to five (5) minutes for each individual)

81
82 Note: Prior to the commencement and conclusion of a contested case or a quasi-judicial
83 proceeding that may affect the due process rights of an individual the board may refuse to
84 consider public comment. See NRS 233B.126

85
86 *5. Executive Director’s Report (For Possible Action)

87
88 *a. Minutes-NRS 631.190 (For Possible Action)

- 89 (1) Board Meeting-05/22/2015
- 90 (2) Formal Hearing-05/22/2015
- 91 (3) Board Meeting-06/04/2015

92
93 MOTION: Dr. Pisani made the motion to accept. Motion seconded by Mrs. Wark. All were in favor.

94
95 *b. Financials-NRS 631.180 (For Possible Action)

- 96 (1) Review Balance Sheet and Statement of Revenues, Expenses and Balances for fiscal period
- 97 July 1, 2014 through April 2015 (For Possible Action)

98
99 Mrs. Shaffer-Kugel indicated that Mrs. Hummel was present to answer any questions that the board may have.
100 Mrs. Hummel stated noted that the Board’s income was significantly above what they had previously budgeted
101 and anticipated currently; specifically over budget by \$107,000. She indicated that the amount may drop before the
102 end of the year, and that their expenses were actually under budget. She briefly went over areas that were over and
103 under budget.

104
105 MOTION: Dr. Pisani made the motion to accept the budget. Motion seconded by Dr. Blasco. All were in favor.

106
107 *c. Contracts NRS - 631.190: (For Possible Action)

- 108 *(1) Recommendations from Budget & Finance Committee to approve an increase for the Executive
- 109 Director compensation to a base salary of \$120,000.00 (For Possible Action)

110
111 Dr. Pinther opened the floor for discussion. Dr. Miller indicated that as he reviewed the documentation, and stated
112 that he lacked some information that he felt would be important for him to be able to better make a decision in
113

116 relation to this matter. He stated that Mrs. Shaffer-Kugel has done an outstanding job during her tenure as
117 Executive Director. He added further that there were some questions; he did not see in the documentation any of
118 the employee review evaluations, particularly related to the Executive Directors. Furthermore, he did not see any
119 documentation showing the salaries of Executive Directors from other state agencies, which he believed would be
120 helpful to allow comparing the salary of those with special credentials such as law degrees, certified account
121 executives, or some type of a BPA. He asked that this item be tabled until the next meeting so that the Board
122 members may be furnished with said the information he noted.

123
124 MOTION: Dr. Miller made the motion to table this item until the next Board meeting to allow time for the Board
125 members to be provided documentation showing the salaries of Executive Directors with other state agencies, and
126 be able to compare their salaries with those who hold special credentials. Discussion: He inquired if an evaluation
127 had been done and, if so, requested to see it. Dr. Pinther indicated that there was no review and that he was
128 uncertain of who would conduct the review of the Executive Director as she reports to them [Board members].
129 Mr. Hunt noted that there was a motion. Dr. Miller withdrew his motion to allow for further discussion from the
130 Board.

131
132 Mrs. Wark inquired that if the Board wants to increase their reserve to \$1.2 million, then increasing Mrs. Shaffer-
133 Kugel's salary by at least 30% would, perhaps, be irresponsible. She noted that Mrs. Shaffer-Kugel has done an
134 amazing job, but was unsure it warranted a 30% increase in her salary base. Dr. Sill noted that the Board appears
135 to be in order. He stated that the salary is in line with other Executive Director's salaries as far as the job
136 descriptions go. He believed that it would be a fair increase.

137
138 MOTION: Dr. Sill made the motion to accept the recommendation to increase the Executive Director's
139 compensation to \$120,000. Motion seconded by Dr. Blasco. Discussion: Mrs. Villigan inquired of Mrs. Hummel if
140 the bonus granted to Mrs. Shaffer-Kugel in December was reflected in the budget. Mrs. Hummel responded and
141 indicated that it was listed as an expense. Dr. Blasco added that as Chairman of the Budget and Finance
142 Committee meeting, in discussing the numbers with Mrs. Hummel, there was no negative impact for operating
143 expenses to bring Mrs. Shaffer-Kugel's salary to be comparable to other Executive Directors along with the
144 knowledge that they want to reach a goal of having \$1.2 million in reserves to be reached during a multiple year
145 endeavor. Dr. Pinther indicated that when he was the chair of the Executive Director Search Committee, he was
146 lucky enough to interview many candidates, including Mrs. Shaffer-Kugel. He added that the Board elected to hire
147 her due to her enthusiasm and vision to cut costs, and eliminate the position of the Deputy Executive Director,
148 among other interoffice expenses. Further, that he believed her skill sets and all she has done for the Board spoke
149 for itself, and thus believed the compensation is fair. Mrs. Wark stated that she, too, believed the Executive
150 Director has done an amazing job; however, that she did not feel that it would be prudent for them to increase her
151 salary by 30%, and that it may not be a fiscally responsible thing to do at this time. She added that, perhaps, this
152 item should be tabled. Mrs. Solie inquired if the Budget & Finance Committee members could furnish the
153 information they used to compare the compensation of other Executive Directors in similar capacities as the Board.
154 Dr. Pinther indicated that they did not have a current copy, but that they would provide her with the information.
155 Dr. Pisani indicated that he went to transparent Nevada to see what some of the employees for the State of Nevada
156 are compensated and was shocked in some places, but that he believed the salary amount was in line. Mrs.
157 Hummel furnished Dr. Pinther with the list of salaries for other Executive Directors from different Board and read
158 what their salaries were. Dr. Miller stated that though there was a motion, he strongly encouraged that the Board
159 members consider tabling this motion so that they could all be furnished with the information of salary and
160 performance review for the Executive Director so that they can make a well enforced decision. Dr. Miller inquired
161 what the base pay and benefits salary would total. After some calculations, the total salary with base pay and
162 benefits would approximately be \$161,000. Roll call vote:

163
164 Dr. J Gordon Kinard----- Excused Dr. J. Stephen Sill-----yes
165 Dr. Timothy Pinther-----yes Dr. Jade Miller-----no
166 Dr. Gregory Pisani-----yes Dr. Byron Blasco -----yes
167 Dr. Jason Champagne-----yes Mrs. Leslea Villigan-----yes
168 Ms. Theresa Guillen-----yes Mrs. Caryn L Solie-----yes
169 Mrs. Lisa Wark-----no

170
171 Motion passed; Approval to accept recommendation to increase Executive Director's base salary to \$120,000.
172
173

- 174 * (2) Review and Approval of the Employment Contract for the Executive Director
175 (For Possible Action)
176

177 MOTION: Dr. Blasco made the motion to approve the contract. Motion seconded by Dr. Pisani. Discussion: Dr.
178 Sill inquired if there were any changes to the contract. Mrs. Shaffer-Kugel indicated that the contract was the
179 same. All were in favor.
180

181 *6. Board Counsel's Report (For Possible Action)
182

183 *a. Legal Actions/Lawsuit(s) Update (For Possible Action)
184

185 (1) District Court Case(s) Update
186

187 \Board Counsel indicated that currently there was no litigation. He added that recently the Board sought
188 injunctive relief against an individual for the illegal practice of dental hygiene, which the court granted the Board a
189 restraining order. Further, that they were currently in negotiations to enter into, with the individual in question, a
190 permanent injunction. He reminded the Board members to refrain from discussion amongst themselves and with
191 other individuals outside of the Board.
192

193 *b. Consideration of Stipulation Agreements (For Possible Action)
194

195 (1) Kayla Mai, DDS
196

197 Board Counsel went over the provisions of the stipulation agreement.
198

199 MOTION: Dr. Sill made the motion to adopt the stipulation agreement as presented for Dr. Kayla Mai. Motion
200 seconded by Mrs. Wark. All were in favor.
201

202 (2) Michael Bell, DDS
203

204 Board Counsel went over the provisions of the stipulation agreement.
205

206 MOTION: Mrs. Wark made the motion to adopt the stipulation agreement for Dr. Michael Bell. Motion was
207 seconded by Dr. Pisani. All were in favor.
208

209 (3) Christine Navales, DDS
210

211 Board Counsel went over the provisions of the stipulation agreement. Noted for the record that counsel for Dr.
212 Navales, Mr. William Palmer was present.
213

214 MOTION: Dr. Blasco made the motion to adopt the stipulation agreement for Dr. Christine Navales. Motion
215 seconded by Ms. Guillen. All were in favor.
216

217 (4) Lisa Hoang, DDS
218

219 Board Counsel went over the provisions of the stipulation agreement.
220

221 MOTION: Dr. Pisani made the motion to adopt the stipulation agreement for Dr. Lisa Hoang. Motion seconded by
222 Mrs. Wark. All were in favor.
223

224 (5) Travis Sorensen, DDS
225

226 Board Counsel went over the provisions of the stipulation agreement. Dr. Sorensen was present with legal counsel.
227 Counsel for Dr. Sorensen was a Mr. Boune Cragun. Dr. Sorensen was given the option to go into closed session and
228 upon Board Counsel explaining what a closed session entailed; Dr. Sorensen waived his right to go into a closed
229 session. Mr. Creighton and Dr. Sorensen stepped forward. Board Counsel inquired, for the record, if Dr. Sorensen
230 has had the time to review the provision set forth in the stipulation agreement and if he has discussed them with
231 legal counsel. Dr. Sorensen answered affirmatively. It was inquired of Dr. Sorensen if he had been advised by

232 counsel of the right to his due process, and if he understood that should the Board vote to not adopt the stipulation
233 agreement presented before them, that the conscious sedation permit will not be in effect, and that the original
234 authorized investigation would move forward, to which Dr. Sorensen answered affirmatively that he understood.
235 Legal counsel for Dr. Sorensen restated his name for the records, Boune Cragun. Mr. Cragun is with the law firm of
236 Upson Smith located at 7455 Arroyo Crossing Parkway. Mr. Cragun affirmed for the record that he has explained
237 each and every one of the provisions with his client, Dr. Sorensen, furthermore, that his client has understood the
238 provisions as stated in the stipulation agreement.

239
240 MOTION: Mrs. Wark made the motion to adopt the stipulation agreement for Travis Sorensen, DDS. Motion
241 seconded by Ms. Guillen. All were in favor.

242
243 MOTION: Dr. Pisani made the motion to go out of order to agenda item (8)(p)(2). Motion seconded by Dr.
244 Blasco. All were in favor.

245
246 *8. New Business (For Possible Action)

247
248 *p. Approval for Anesthesia-Permanent Permit – NAC 631.2233 (For Possible Action)

249
250 (2) General Anesthesia (For Possible Action)

251 a. Travis M Sorensen, DDS (with Restrictions)

252
253 Dr. Miller recommended approval for permanent anesthesia permit with restrictions. Mr. Hunt reiterated the
254 restrictions as agreed to under the provisions of the stipulation agreement.

255
256 MOTION: Ms. Guillen made the motion to approve the anesthesia permanent permit with restrictions. Motion
257 seconded by Mrs. Wark. All were in favor.

258
259
260 MOTION: Dr. Blasco made the motion to return to agenda order. Motion seconded by Dr. Pisani. All were in
261 favor.

262
263 **Mr. Miller excused for the remainder of the meeting. **

264
265 *6. Board Counsel's Report (For Possible Action)

266
267 *c. Consideration of Stipulation Agreement- NRS 631.350 (For Possible Action)

268
269 (1) Vincent G Colosimo, DMD

270
271 Board Counsel asked Dr. Colosimo to step forward. Board Counsel went over the provisions of the stipulation
272 agreement that was entered into previously, which he had complied with provisions stated in said stipulation
273 agreement. Board Counsel went over the provisions of the proposed stipulation agreement. Board Counsel
274 inquired of Dr. Colosimo if he has understood the provision as stated and has reviewed them with legal counsel of
275 his choosing, which he has decided not to but rather represent himself in proper person; to which, Dr. Colosimo
276 answered affirmatively.

277
278 MOTION: Mrs. Wark made the motion to adopt the Stipulation Agreement of Dr. Colosimo. Motion seconded by
279 Dr. Pisani. All were in favor.

280
281
282 *d. Approval/Rejection of Application for Dental Licensure by WREB –NRS 631.240 (For Possible Action)

283
284 (1) Vincent G Colosimo, DMD

285
286 MOTION: Dr. Pisani made the motion to approve the application for Dr. Colosimo. Motion seconded by Mrs.
287 Wark. All were in favor; Dr. Blasco abstained.

288
289

290
291
292
293
294
295
296
297
298
299
300
301
302
303
304
305
306
307
308
309
310
311
312
313
314
315
316
317
318
319
320
321
322
323
324
325
326
327
328
329
330
331
332
333
334
335
336

***7. Old Business** (For Possible Action)

***a. Approval for Dental Licensure by WREB – NRS 631.240(1)(b)(2)** (For Possible Action)

(1) Judd F Boehme, DDS

Dr. Blasco indicated that he reviewed the application and recommended approval.

MOTION: Dr. Sill made the motion to approve licensure. Motion seconded by Mrs. Wark. All were in favor; Dr. Blasco abstained.

MOTION: Dr. Blasco made the motion to take a brief recess. Motion seconded by Ms. Guillen. All were in favor.

***8. New Business** (For Possible Action)

***a. Approve the American Association of Dental Boards (AADB) Membership for Six (6) Board Members, Executive Director and Board Legal Counsel for 2015-2016** (For Possible Action)

Mrs. Shaffer-Kugel indicated that since the Board is on a balanced budget they felt they could increase the number of members that could attend. Those interested in attending: Dr. Blasco, Ms. Solie, Dr. Pisani (for spring), Dr. Champagne, Mrs. Wark, and Dr. Pinther.

MOTION: Dr. Pisani made a motion approve the six members interested in attending. Motion seconded by Mrs. Solie. All were in favor.

***b. Request for Advisory Opinion regarding NAC 631.033 and NAC 631.035 for clarification on the types of lasers to be utilized pursuant to these regulations. NAC 631.279** (for Possible Action)

(1) J Stephen Sill, DMD

Dr. Sill inquired that if the regulations NAC 631.033, NAC 631.035 and NAC 631.279 refer only to lasers that can cut, cauterize, or directly alter tissues? He indicated that there are lasers used in dentistry that do not seem to require special training or any special certification. He listed that there are lasers that are in the x-ray machines, lasers that can be used to detect cavities, and noted that there was an article that mentioned lasers that can be purchased at a office supply store to help heal tissues. It is his understanding that these types of lasers are not subject to the sections that require continuing education. Mr. Hunt indicated that the laser regulation intent is that lasers would be used in the healing process regardless of what the laser type does. Lasers used for diagnostic purposes only would be exempt from the regulatory provisions. Further that if a laser is used for the purpose of healing, then it must abide by the regulations indicated.

MOTION/ADVISORY OPINION: Dr. Pisani stated that is the opinion of the Board that the uses of lasers for diagnostic purposes are exempt from the provisions of NAC and NRS 631. Motion seconded by Dr. Blasco. All were in favor.

***c. Approval for Dental Licensure by WREB – NRS 631.240(1)(b)(2)** (For Possible Action)

- | | |
|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| (1) Robert K Boyd DMD | (7) Garrett R Swanson DMD |
| (2) Jacob K Butler DMD | (8) Chelsie J Todd DMD |
| (3) David J Dapra DMD | (9) Tesha L Waggoner DMD |
| (4) Phuong M Le DDS | (10) Ryan D Waring DDS |
| (5) Rachel A Mismas DDS | (11) Dallis J Zurcher DDS |
| (6) Robert T Petersen DDS | |

Dr. Blasco indicated that he reviewed the applications and recommended approval.

MOTION: Dr. Sill made the motion to approve the applications. Motion seconded by Mrs. Wark. All were in favor; Dr. Blasco abstained from the vote.

1
2
3
4

5
6
7
***d. Approval for Dental Licensure by ADEX – NRS 631.240(1)(b)(1) (For Possible Action)**

- | | |
|------------------------|--------------------------|
| (1) Doyon Chung DMD | (4) Natalia Montoya DMD |
| (2) David J Harger DMD | (5) Brittany N Rich DMD |
| (3) Sven P Hoge DMD | (6) Matthew J Wilson DDS |

1
2 Dr. Blasco indicated that he reviewed the applications and recommended approval.

3
4 MOTION: Dr. Sill made the motion to approve the applications. Motion seconded by Mrs. Wark. All were in
5 favor; Dr. Blasco abstained from the vote.

6
7
8
9
10
***e. Approval for Specialty License by Application – NRS 631.250 (For Possible Action)**

- (1) Christina A Demopoulos DDS – Public Health Dentist

11 Dr. Blasco indicated that he reviewed the application and recommended approval.

12
13 MOTION: Dr. Pisani made the motion to approve the application. Motion seconded by Mrs. Wark. All were in
14 favor; Dr. Blasco abstained from the vote.

15
16
17
18
19
20
***f. Approval of Restricted Geographical Dentist License – NRS 631.274(1)(a) (For Possible Action)**

- (1) Mardelle R Petersen DDS – Non-Profit Facility

21 Dr. Blasco indicated that he reviewed the application and recommended approval.

22
23 MOTION: Dr. Pisani made the motion to approve the application. Motion seconded by Mrs. Wark. All were in
24 favor; Dr. Blasco abstained from the vote.

25
26
27
28
29
30
31
***g. Approval for Limited Dental/Dental Hygiene License – NRS 631.271 (For Possible Action)**

- | |
|--------------------------------------------|
| (1) Quynh N Bui DDS – UNLV |
| (2) Vincent L Khang DDS – UNLV -----TABLED |
| (3) Casey A Luu DDS – Roseman University |
| (4) Nga A Nguyen DDS – UNLV |

32 Dr. Blasco indicated that he reviewed the applications and recommended approval, and table (2).

33
34 MOTION: Dr. Sill made the motion to approve applications (8)(g)(1)(3)(4). Motion seconded by Dr. Pisani. All
35 were in favor; Dr. Blasco abstained from the vote.

36
37
38
39
***h. Approval for Limited Dental License for Post-Graduate Program Pending 90-day completion
NRS 631.271 (For Possible Action)**

- | | |
|-------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| (1) Ajay D Amin DDS – UNLV | (7) Laurita Siu - UNLV |
| (2) Li Feng Cao – UNLV | (8) Amy S Tam DDS – UNLV -----TABLED |
| (3) Mannimrat K Dhillon DDS – UNLV | (9) Suzanne G Wen DDS – UNLV |
| (4) Omid Fallah Medhipour Farashtami DDS – UNLV | (10) Nasim Zarkesh DDS - UNLV |
| (5) Cameron M Lasley DDS – UNLV | (11) Ida Zarrabi DMD – UNLV |
| (6) Han Na Seo DMD – Roseman University | |

1
2 Dr. Blasco indicated that he reviewed the applications and recommended approval, and table (8).

3
4 MOTION: Dr. Sill made the motion to approve applications (8) (h)(1-7,9-11). Motion seconded by Mrs. Wark. All
5 were in favor; Dr. Blasco abstained from the vote.

8
9 ***i. Approval for Dental Hygiene Licensure by ADEX – NRS 631.300(1)(b)(1)** (For Possible Action)

- 10
11 (1) Diana M DeRocher RDH
12 (2) Lanier S Weathers RDH
13

14 Dr. Blasco indicated that he reviewed the applications and recommended approval.

15
16 MOTION: Dr. Pisani made the motion to approve the applications. Motion seconded by Mrs. Wark. All were in
17 favor; Dr. Blasco abstained from the vote.
18

19
20 ***j. Approval for Dental Hygiene Licensure by WREB – NRS 631.300(1)(b)(3)** (For Possible Action)
21

- (1) Gabrielle S Burtenshaw RDH (6) Samantha E Ponik RDH
(2) Mary Hyacinth Carreon RDH (7) Natasha N Wheeler RDH
(3) Michelle K Heiner RDH (8) Sage E Williams RDH
(4) Nicole B Howells RDH (9) Jill H Winn RDH
(5) Hannah R Lee RDH

1
2 Dr. Blasco indicated that he reviewed the applications and recommended approval.
3

4 MOTION: Ms. Guillen made the motion to approve the applications. Motion seconded by Dr. Pisani. All were in
5 favor; Dr. Blasco abstained from the vote.
6
7

8 ***k. Approval of Public Health Endorsement – NRS 631.287** (For Possible Action)
9

- 10 (1) Youlanda Bates, RDH – Seal Nevada South Program
11 (2) Lisa A Belanger, RDH – Seal Nevada South Program
12

13 Dr. Blasco indicated that he reviewed the applications and recommended approval.
14

15 MOTION: Ms. Guillen made the motion to approve the applications. Motion seconded by Mrs. Villigan. All were
16 in favor; Dr. Blasco abstained from the vote.
17
18

19 ***l. Approval of Voluntary Surrender of License – NAC 631.160** (For Possible Action)
20

- 21 (1) Ronald D Jackson, DDS
22 (2) Rashid Kamdar, DDS
23 (3) Trevor H Smith, DMD
24

25 Mrs. Shaffer-Kugel indicated that they the three licensees do not currently practice in Nevada, and that they did
26 not have any pending matters.
27

28 MOTION: Dr. Pisani made the motion to approve the applications. Motion seconded by Mrs. Wark. All were in
29 favor of the motion.
30
31

32 ***m. Approval for Disciplinary Screening Officer Monitor-NRS 631.190** (For Possible Action)
33

- 34 (1) Michael Georges, DDS
35

36 Mrs. Shaffer-Kugel asked that the Board table this matter.
37

38 MOTION: Ms. Guillen made the motion to table agenda item (8)(m)(1). Motion seconded by Dr. Blasco. All were
39 in favor of the motion.
40

41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
66
67
68
69
70
71
72
73
74
75
76
77
78
79
80
81
82
83
84
85
86
87
88
89
90
91
92
93
94
95
96
97
98

***n. Approval for Anesthesia Evaluator/IC Inspector-NRS 631.190 (For Possible Action)**

- (1) Gary Geracci, DDS – GA Permit Holder
- (2) Perry T Francis, DDS – CS Permit Hlder

Mrs. Shaffer-Kugel indicated that Dr. Geracci and Dr. Francis expressed interest of becoming Anesthesia evaluators for the Board, and recommended approval.

MOTION: Mrs. Wark made the motion to approve the applications. Motion seconded by Dr. Pisani. All were in favor of the motion.

***o. Approval for Anesthesia-Temporary Permit – NAC 631.2254 (For Possible Action)**

- (1) Conscious Sedation (For Possible Action)**
 - (a) Nam M Phan, DMD
 - (b) Tyler R Klein, DDS

Dr. Blasco indicated that Dr. Miller reviewed the applications, and recommended approval.

MOTION: Dr. Pisani made the motion to approve the temporary permits. Motion seconded by Ms. Guillen. All were in favor of the motion; Dr. Blasco abstained from the vote.

- (2) General Anesthesia (For Possible Action)**
 - (a) Clay Van Leeuwen, DMD

Dr. Blasco indicated that Dr. Miller reviewed the application, and recommended approval.

MOTION: Dr. Pisani made the motion to approve the temporary permit. Motion seconded by Mrs. Guillen. All were in favor of the motion; Dr. Blasco abstained from the vote.

***p. Approval for Anesthesia-Permanent Permit – NAC 631.2233 (For Possible Action)**

- (1) Conscious Sedation (For Possible Action)**
 - (a) Jeffrey W Higbee, DMD

Dr. Blasco indicated that Dr. Miller reviewed the application, and recommended approval.

MOTION: Ms. Guillen made the motion to approve the permanent permit. Motion seconded by Mrs. Wark. All were in favor of the motion; Dr. Blasco abstained from the vote.

***q. Approval for a 90-Day Extension of Anesthesia Permit – NAC 631.2254(2)
(For Possible Action)**

- *(1) General Anesthesia (For Possible Action)**
 - (a) Lisa M Gortari, DDS
 - (b) Shaheen M Moezzi, DDS

Dr. Blasco requested approval of a 90-extension.

MOTION: Dr. Pisani made the motion to approve the 90-day extension. Motion seconded by Mrs. Wark. All were in favor of the motion.

***r. Approval of Staff Performance Evaluations and Compensation (For Possible Action)**

Mrs. Shaffer-Kugel indicated that at the last Board meeting, at the recommendation of the Budget and Finance Committee meeting, the Board authorized her, the Executive Director, to conduct the evaluation and suggestion of merit raises and cost-of-living raises. She indicated that she had preliminarily gone over with staff. She indicated

99 further that copies of the evaluations were forwarded to Dr. Blasco and Dr. Pinther. It is at their request, Dr.
100 Pinther and Dr. Blasco's, that the Board approves the evaluations and the compensation. She noted that the Board
101 had asked that the cost-of-living be at a maximum of 3%, but was asked to review the State's cost-of-living
102 designated percent, which was currently set at 2.3%. She stated that with the cost of living and merit increases
103 have been calculated, which Mrs. Hummel provided for the Board. Mrs. Hummel stated that the cost-of-living and
104 merits, which figures were already projected in their FY2016 budget, costs were \$6,921, and the merit increases
105 came to \$8, 050, for a total of \$14,971. Dr. Blasco indicated that at the Budget and Finance Committee meeting,
106 they committee reviewed all the financials and the information regarding the merits and cost-of-living increases
107 with Mrs. Hummel, and the total amount would not be a financial burden on the Boards' upcoming fiscal year.
108

109 MOTION: Dr. Pisani made the motion to approve the recommended cost-of-living and merit increases. Motion
110 seconded by Mrs. Wark. All were in favor of the motion.
111

112 s. Overview regarding Legislative Session 2015 113

114 Mrs. Shaffer-Kugel went over the changes, which were provided to both the Board members and the public.
115 Mrs. Shaffer-Kugel briefly explained what some of the changes now in effect were, primarily those with a direct
116 impact on the Board. The Board asked that Mrs. Shaffer-Kugel was asked to write a letter to the Board of
117 Pharmacy to inquire about the Prescription Monitoring Program and the issue of passwords expiring.
118

119 *9. Resource Group Reports 120

- 121 *a. Legislative and Dental Practice (For Possible Action)
122 (Chair: Dr. Pinther; Dr. Champagne; Dr. Blasco; Dr. Kinard; Mrs. Guillen, Mrs. Wark)
123

124 No report.
125

- 126 *b. Legal and Disciplinary Action (For Possible Action)
127 (Chair: Dr. Kinard; Dr. Pisani; Dr. Sill; Dr. Blasco; Mrs. Villigan; Mrs. Wark)
128

129 No report.
130

- 131 *c. Examinations Liaisons (For Possible Action)
132

- 133 *(1) WREB Representatives (For Possible Action)
134 (Dr. Blasco and Mrs. Solie)
135

136 Dr. Blasco indicated that there was going to be a WREB examiner meeting mid-July.
137

- 138 *(2) ADEX Representatives (For Possible Action)
139 (Dr. Kinard)
140

141 Dr. Pinther indicated that he was present at the CDCA meeting Baltimore the previous week and the only
142 new thing to mention was that the Hygiene exam documents were now going digital. He added that they have
143 become international examiners, with Jamaica and the West Indies now having joined them. He spoke of a Pilot
144 program and explained what it entailed.
145

- 146 *d. Continuing Education (For Possible Action)
147 (Chair: Dr. Sill; Dr. Blasco; Dr. Pisani; Mrs. Villigan; Ms. Solie)
148

149 No report.
150

- 151 *e. Committee of Dental Hygiene (For Possible Action)
152 (Chair: Mrs. Guillen; Mrs. Villigan, Ms. Solie; Dr. Sill)
153

154 No report.
155
156

157 *f. Specialty (For Possible Action)
158 (Chair: Dr. Pisani; Dr. Miller; Dr. Pinther)
159

160 No report.
161

162 *g. Anesthesia (For Possible Action)
163 (Chair: Dr. Miller; Dr. Pinther; Dr. Champagne, Dr. Kinard)
164

165 *(1). Discussion and Approval/Rejection of Revised Sedation Scenarios (For Possible Action)
166

167 Mr. Hunt indicated that the changes were administrative and confidential, which did not require a motion.
168

169 MOTION: Dr. Sill made the motion to go into closed session. Motion seconded by Mrs. Wark. All were in favor of
170 the vote.
171

172 Returned from Closed Session.
173

174 *h. Infection Control (For Possible Action)
175 (Chair: Mrs. Villigan; Dr. Blasco; Dr. Champagne; Dr. Pisani; Ms. Solie; Mrs. Wark)
176

177 Mrs. Villigan reported that there have been 61 initial IC inspections since July 1, 2014. Dr. Blasco noted that there
178 will be an initial inspection fee in the future. Mrs. Villigan indicated that they will have to hold a calibration for all
179 IC inspectors, to which Mrs. Shaffer-Kugel indicated that the Board had already budgeted in FY2016 to hold a
180 calibration.
181

182 *i. Budget and Finance Committee (For Possible Action)
183 (Chair: Dr. Sill, Dr. Pinther, Mrs. Wark, Mrs. Guillen)
184

185 Dr. Blasco indicated that they held a committee meeting a few days prior and that the draft minutes will be
186 provided to the Board members for the next Board meeting in July. Mrs. Shaffer-Kugel indicated that they were
187 sent the proposed budget with the increases noted.
188

189 10. Public Comment: (Public Comment is limited to Five (5) minutes for each individual)
190

191 Mrs. Garvey gave an update on the meeting that was held for the AC4OH. During the meeting, they focused on
192 their annual report where they gave recommendations to the State Administrator and the Governor on how to
193 improve access to oral health for the citizens of Nevada. She indicated that some of the discussion held regarding
194 the new Public Health Officer and the new Public Health Dental Hygienist and the funding of those positions be
195 funded by the Radiology Inspection fees, which their task is to find stable funding for both positions. She added
196 that there was discussion of seeking to have the positions paid by the licensing fees from the Dental Board. She
197 noted that it was the committee's recommendation to engage in discussions with the Dental Board about the
198 possibility. She spoke of another discussion held regarding a recommendation to introduce one medical
199 professional to the Dental Board and to add one dental professional to the Medical and Nursing Boards. She added
200 further, that another recommendation was that should there be a legislative or regulation change requiring
201 mandatory continuing education requirements for dental licensure and medical licensure to include a class
202 regarding public health. She noted that there hope was to have dental, medical, and nursing professionals have a
203 better understanding of each profession and how it relates to the need for oral health. She mentioned the
204 discussion the committee had to search for funding for programs that provide direct services for public health and
205 the underserved, which lost funding during the recession, and that they are now looking to having conversations
206 with the Board to discuss a possible formal process. She added that the committee was aware of the history of the
207 Board to distribute money to public health programs. Board Counsel clarified to Ms. Garvey that the Board does
208 not use fines to distribute money to the public. He indicated that the licensees that stipulate choose to voluntary
209 make donations to different public health programs in lieu of some discipline or charges. He further clarified that
210
211
212
213
214
215

216 fines must go to the general fund of the state. Ms. Garvey added that, perhaps, the discussion to have some of the
217 underserved, public health programs make the list to be considered for donations for future instances of donations
218 made per stipulation agreements. She lastly noted that they committee was also looking to discuss some of the
219 NRS and NAC 631 codes regarding opening up the limitations in access to oral healthcare services.
220

221 Note: No vote may be taken upon a matter raised under this item of the agenda until the matter itself has been
222 specifically included on an agenda as an item upon which action may be taken. (NRS 241.020)

223 II. Announcements: Mrs. Shaffer-Kugel reminded that dental renewal ends June 30th.
224

225 *12. Adjournment (For Possible Action)
226

227 MOTION: Dr. Pisani made the motion to adjourn. Motion seconded by Dr. Blasco. All were in favor of the motion.
228

229
230

231
232

233
234 Meeting Adjourned at 1:00 pm.

235
236 Respectfully submitted by:

237
238 _____
239 Debra Shaffer-Kugel, Executive Director